JPRS-JAR-85-020 13 September 1985

# Japan Report

JPRS publications contain information primarily from foreign newspapers, periodicals and books, but also from news agency transmissions and broadcasts. Materials from foreign-language sources are translated; those from English-language sources are transcribed or reprinted, with the original phrasing and other characteristics retained.

Headlines, editorial reports, and material enclosed in brackets [] are supplied by JPRS. Processing indicators such as [Text] or [Excerpt] in the first line of each item, or following the last line of a brief, indicate how the original information was processed. Where no processing indicator is given, the information was summarized or extracted.

Unfamiliar names rendered phonetically or transliterated are enclosed in parentheses. Words or names preceded by a question mark and enclosed in parentheses were not clear in the original but have been supplied as appropriate in context. Other unattributed parenthetical notes within the body of an item originate with the source. Times within items are as given by source.

The contents of this publication in no way represent the policies, views or attitudes of the U.S. Government.

#### PROCUREMENT OF PUBLICATIONS

JPRS publications may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. In ordering, it is recommended that the JPRS number, title, date and author, if applicable, of publication be cited.

Current JPRS publications are announced in Government Reports Announcements issued semi-monthly by the National Technical Information Service, and are listed in the Monthly Catalog of U.S. Government Publications issued by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Correspondence pertaining to matters other than procurement may be addressed to Joint Publications Research Service, 1000 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201.

# JAPAN REPORT

# CONTENTS

POLI	TICAL AND SOCIOLOGICAL	
	Future of Komoto, Komoto Faction Discussed (Ichiro Nagata; ZAIKAI, 30 Jul 85)	1
MILI	TARY	
	Government, LDP To Meet on Defense Ceiling (KYODO, 19 Aug 85)	8
ECON	IOMIC	
	Business Views of Technological Revolution Surveyed (KEIZAI KIKAKUCHO NI YOUR ANKEETO, 17 Apr 85)	9
	Higher Import of Oil, Coal Urged (KYODO, 2 Aug 85)	49

## POLITICAL AND SOCIOLOGICAL

FUTURE OF KOMOTO, KOMOTO FACTION DISCUSSED

Tokyo ZAIKAI in Japanese 30 Jul 85 pp 100-103

[Article by Ichiro Nagata]

[Text] Unpleasant General Meeting

"I do not believe that he will always remain a party presidential candidate. Isn't it about time that we reconsidered the future course of this faction?"

The occasion was the general meeting of the Komoto faction held on 26 June, the day after the close of the ordinary Diet session. The above remark was made by a faction member in the presence of the "presidential candidate" Toshio Komoto (state minister) and created quite a shock within the faction.

"Actually, that thought is in the mind of every member. However, I did not think that it would have surfaced in this manner." A faction leader explained that this is how the members reacted in general.

Although everyone was thinking along the same line, no one voiced concurrence with the remark at the meeting.

It is said that the general meeting adjourned "with quite an unpleasant feeling," according to a Diet member of the faction.

Toshio Komoto ran in the past two presidential primary elections and had firmly established his base as a presidential candidate.

However, the situation had changed drastically with the reelection and assumption of governmental powers by his rival, Yasuhiro Nakasone.

Will the Komoto faction continue to support Komoto and strive to attain political authority or will it lower its banner for a political regime and seek a new course as a middle-of-the-road faction, or worse yet, will it become the "grazing ground" for other factions and vanish into thin air? The faction is standing at a crucial crossroads.

Komoto has been treated as a deputy prime minister in the Nakasone cabinet since the reelection.

During Nakasone's travels abroad, he always serves as a temporary, acting prime minister.

Within the cabinet, he is noted for his aggressive statements centered on economic policies.

After assuming his office, Komoto issued premier-like instructions, e.g., with regard to famine measures for Africa, he stated, "to determine if Japan's aid is truly helping those countries, a follow-up system should be established with the cabinet secretary taking the lead." Even Nakasone, who yields to noone as an attention-getter, was outdone on this occasion.

His statements on increasing domestic demand and reducing taxes are fresh in the people's minds.

At Nakasone's cabinet meetings, where there are hardly any arguments to speak of, Komoto's aggressiveness has been creating conversational topics of some kind.

Mechanism to Crush Komoto

However, in spite of these "strenuous efforts" in the cabinet, "presidential candidate" Komoto is facing tragedy in his personal future.

On the occasion of Nakasone's reelection, "The fact that he could not strike a resisting blow irrevocably weakened his position as a presidential candidate." (Statement of a Komoto faction Diet member.)

Kiichi Miyazawa and Shintaro Abe garnered one of the top three party posts or an important cabinet position, while showing signs of contesting, but in contrast, Komoto did not voice any opposition till the end.

When the cabinet was formed, Komoto requested the position of either party vice president or deputy prime minister but Nakasone completely disregarded him.

Nakasone's mind was preoccupied with the problem of how to deal with and dispose of the new leaders, namely, Miyazawa, Abe and Noboru Takeshita. In other words, Nakasone did not take his former rival, Komoto, very seriously.

Komoto's weakest point is that his Diet factional force totals only 35 persons, including Upper and Lower House members, and he does not have the minimum 50 recommenders required to run in presidential primary elections.

Although in a sidestream faction, Komoto showed keen enthusiasm that "the primary election system is the road to political power," and utilizing his abundant financial resources, staged a tremendous campaign to solicit party members and supporters.

As everyone is aware, there was a time when others, like Nakasone, could not even closely match the number of Diet members he had recruited.

That was the period when Komoto was close to establishing his own political regime.

A minimum of 50 recommenders (Diet members) will be required to run as a candidate in the presidential primary election—that revision of the regulation was devised to crush Komoto by the mainstream factions, which feared Komoto's strength.

This mechanism had a decisive effect on Komoto.

The emergence of Vice President Nikaido, as the central figure to rally anti-Nakasone forces, has also been a blow to Komoto.

Former Prime Minister Fukuda, who championed the cause of Komoto 2 and 1/2 years ago--"Mr. Komoto, become the leader to save this country"--does not even mention the name of Komoto today.

"If Nakasome is to be put in a bind, the person to use is Nikaido, not Komoto," This is probably what Fukuda is thinking.

Even the middle-of-the-road parties, which attempted a number of times in the past to approach Komoto, are now saying that, "we no longer have interest in Komoto or Fukuda." (Statement of Democratic Socialist Party leader.)

On the other hand, since Kanemaru became the party's pivotal figure as its secretary general, support for new leaders, such as Abe and Takeshita, has intensified rapidly.

Bosically, Kanemaru does not have such bad relationships with Komoto.

There was a delicate period in the past when Kanemaru began to support Komoto against the wishes of former Prime Minister Tanaka.

Kanemaru, who had disliked Nakasone, had thought that "Komoto was better than Nakasone."

However, Kanemaru is now the main pillar supporting Nakasone and his earnest wish in the realization of a new leader's political regime.

As the owner of the Sanko Steamship Co, Komoto distinguished himself in the Miki faction with his ample financial resources and succeeded as the faction leader.

However, his rationalism as a financier hurt him in the political world of "duty and humanity." Even at social parties, Komoto talked with absurd seriousness about economic matters and withdrew alone saying, "I have to leave now."

"He is a man of consequence. If he were in our faction, he would have assumed political power." Even some in the rival Tanaka faction say that but it cannot be denied that he lacks magnetism as a political boss.

There is some dissatisfaction in the party that, "he will do what is ordinarily expected but nothing more." (Statement of a Komoto faction Diet member.)

Because his personal ties are few, his "centripetal power" in the faction is weak.

Together With the Decline of Sanko

Komoto's political position has weakened together with the decline of the Sanko Steamship Co of which Komoto is the actual owner.

With the deterioration of the shipping business following the oil shocks, the performance of the Sanko Steamship Co worsened and showed deficits of 47 billion yen in March 1983 and 55 billion yen in March 1985 and the cumulative deficits climbed to the enormous sum of 168.2 billion yen in the March settlement term of this year.

Komoto withdrew from the operations of Sanko Steamship and took the position that, "since I possess only 3.3 percent of the total shares, Sanko Steamship and the Komoto faction have no relationship."

However, everyone knows that the "high-priced Sanko stock" had financed Komoto faction's predecessor, the Miki faction.

Sanko Steamship supports Komoto's political activities and is the lifeline of the Komoto faction.

Supporting Sanko Steamship are three principal banks, namely, Daiwan Bank, Ltd, the main bank, Long-Term Credit Bank of Japan, Ltd and Tokai Bank, Ltd.

Views are being expressed that as long as Komoto remains a state minister, accorded the treatment of a deputy prime minister, and Tokuo Yamashita, a faction member, is the transport minister, the situation will remain the same but should the two leave the cabinet in the fall reorganization, what moves the banks will take at that time will be the crucial issue. On 15 May, Komoto took along Yamashita to meet with Ryuichi Kato, president of Tokai Bank, and requested assistance but this act aroused criticisms of "abusive use of authority."

That he took such an action, while repeating that "politician Komoto and Sanko Steamship have no relations," reminds one of the label, "politically tone deaf," pinned on Komoto but probably it would be more correct to say that the situation is so critical that he could not care for outward appearances.

This incident had repercussions in the faction where talk of Sanko's financial difficulties is taboo.

At the Komoto faction's general meeting on 5 June, Setsu Shiga, the faction's deputy secretariat chief, countered the criticism and supported Yamashita by saying, "What is wrong about the transport minister trying to rescue a shipping company?"

However, since the faction had followed in the footsteps of "Clean Miki" and had capitalized on "clean politics," there are some in the faction who criticize that "after all, wasn't that a foolish act?"

A big factor that enabled Komoto to maintain his position as a presidential candidate was his powerful financial power backed by Sanko.

Sanko Steamship's impasse is crumpling, from a financial standpoint, Komoto's foothold as a presidential candidate.

Naturally, the watchful eyes of other factions are directed at the wavering Komoto faction.

"The Komoto faction will break up."

"The Komoto faction will sell out."

Such rumors have arisen and quieted, time and time again, in Nagata-cho since this year.

Movements of Kaifu Group

When the Soseikai was formed by Noboru Takeshita, Toshiki Kaifu of the Komoto faction responded as though in agreement.

Kaifu was said to be Miki's favorite disciple but in the Tanaka cabinet, he served as the chairman of the Standing Committee on House Management and has been close to the Tanaka faction since then. In the Komoto faction, he is one of the few Dietmen who can maneuver behind the scenes. Kanemaru, who had been saying, "he is too good a man to leave in Komoto faction," appointed Kaifu as the leading assistant secretary general upon assuming the office of LDP secretary general.

He has close ties with the Waseda Debating Society and is an overt supporter of Takeshita.

It has been persistently rumored that if Takeshita had to leave the Tanaka faction because of the formation of Soseikai, Kaifu had planned to muster forces from the Komoto faction and join Takeshita.

It is said that the Kaifu group consists of five or six persons, mostly young members.

Since the Soseikai has remained in the Tanaka faction, Kaifu is keeping his silence. Recently, Kaifu has been saying that, "Especially, at this critical juncture, I would like to see Komoto, who has been consistently

advocating aggressive finances and political ethics, take the helm of government."

However, Kaifu is still a "partisan" of the Soseikai.

Kanemaru and Takeshita are not the only ones trying to woo the Komoto faction.

Leaders of the Nakasone faction stated in early spring that, "Both the Nakasone and Komoto factions stem from the same, former Progressive Party. If we consolidate, we would have a hundred members. Since the Tanaka faction is on the verge of splitting, we might become the biggest faction in the party." These statements have created a stir in various sectors.

It is even said that the Sanko stocks rose temporarily because of these statements.

There are schemes, also, to amalgamate the Fukuda and Komoto factions.

The visit by Fukuda to Miki's residence in Nanpeidai in late February aroused various conjectures but aside from that, Komoto sought Fukuda's assistance in rescuing Sanko and Fukuda cooperated with monetary support.

Because of this, the mood strengthened in Komoto faction for consolidation with the Fukuda faction but Kaifu, who has a strong pipeline to Kanemaru and Takeshita, objected and the plan is still up in the air.

Within the Tanaka faction, not only the Kanemaru-Takeshita group but the former Prime Minister Tanaka's group, and even Tanaka himself before succumbing to a cerebral thrombosis, tried to win over pro-Tanaka Diet members in the Komoto faction.

Even the Suzuki faction is showing signs of trying to deepen contacts with the leaders of Komoto faction.

The "dissolution" and "sell-out" of the Komoto faction are being rumored and the faction is facing the crisis of becoming a "grazing ground" because it is viewed that, "the prospect of a Komoto regime has completely disappeared." (Statement of a Suzuki faction Diet member.)

Amidst the faction's commotion, Hyosuki Kujiraoka, a faction member, stated at a supporters' club that, "Our faction has been a traditional, idealistic group since the Miki faction and it is absolutely untrue that the faction's spirit is depressed. With only 35 colleagues, we are few in number but we should stand united and with pride." However, ties once loosened are difficult to reunite.

Epicenter of Six Weak Factions Period

People are beginning to say that, "the Komoto faction is already collapsing," but is there any way out for Komoto?

"Tanaka's hospitalization has thrown the political world into a chaos."

This is how Komoto describes "the political world without Tanaka." For taciturn Komoto to reveal his political views openly is unusual.

He also adds, "Therefore, a small group of 35 persons can become a powerful force."

The LDP party line-up of one strong and four weak factions is about to enter a period of six weak factions because of the virtual split of the Tanaka faction. Though only 35 persons, the faction might hold the decisive votes in a political situation.

With regard to trade frictions, which is a serious problem for Nakasone, Komoto is urging a policy change: "In addition to market liberalization, domestic demand must be increased through large-scale tax reductions and easing of regulations, based on drastic revisions of the tax system, before this problem can be solved."

Without question, this is his pet theory but he also wanted to highlight differences with Nakasone's policies.

A certain Komoto faction Dietman says, "Of the post-Nakasone candidates, only Komoto has a vision."

It is true that the new leaders have no political policies. By comparison, Komoto can propose a firm theory, at least, in financial matters.

If Komoto can be said to have any chance at the premiership, it would probably be to relieve Nakasone, should be come to a complete standstill in fiscal management, just as Takeo Miki became the prime minister/party president on the single issue of political ethics.

In this fall's personnel reorganization, it appears that Komoto will be faced with a difficult situation.

The Komoto faction is allotted two cabinet positions. If Komoto remains in the cabinet, there would be only one other opening.

There is fear that dissatisfaction will erupt in the faction. Should be leave the cabinet to pacify his faction, his political presence will soon be eclipsed.

How will Komoto react to the remark that, "eventually, the Komoto faction will be absorbed by the three factions of Tanaka (Soseikzi), Fukuda and Suzuki?" (Statement of a Suzuki faction Diet member.)

"Following the Tanaka faction, the Komoto faction will become the epicenter." (Statement of a political reporter.) It is a fact that attention will be riveted to the Komoto faction.

9134

CSO: 4105/354

#### MILITARY

GOVERNMENT, LDP TO MEET ON DEFENSE CEILING

OW191347 Tokyo KYODO in English 1158 GMT 19 Aug 85

Text Tokyo, Aug 19 KYODO -- Meetings on the next five-year defense buildup program and the question of raising the limit on Japan's defense spending of 1 percent of gross national product (CMF) will take place this week to ratify opinions between the government and the Ruling Liberal-Democratic Party (LDP), government officials said Monday.

A meeting of the National Defense Council, formed by four cabinet ministers as well as Prime Hinister Yasuhiro Nakasone, will be held on Tuesday.

Chief cabinet secretary Takao Fujinami and Koichi Kato, director general of the defense agency, will then discuss the controversial issue with LDP vice president Susumu Nikaido Wednesday, the officials said.

In the National Defense Council meeting, Foreign Minister Shintaro Abe is expected to reiterate his call for prudent handling of the issue, according to the officials, because he believes Japan's increases in defense expenditure and moves toward lifting of the ceiling cause concern among Asian countries.

Ippei Kaneko, director general of the economic planning agency, will report on the nation's economic prospects and the estimate of GNP over the five years, to be prepared by November.

The defense agency said earlier this month that the cost of the next five-year defense buildup plan would go over 1 percent of GNP in the period.

Nakasone has indicated that the government will handle the issue based on the plan by the defense agency. He has also said that the lifting of the ceiling on the defense budget could be postponed until December when next fiscal year's budget is worked out.

The defense council will approve the defense budget for 1986 in a meeting scheduled for the end of August, the officials said.

CSO: 4100/717

#### **ECONOMIC**

#### BUSINESS VIEWS OF TECHNOLOGICAL REVOLUTION SURVEYED

Tokyo KEIZAI KIKAKUCHO NI YORU ANKEETO in Japanese 17 Apr 85 pp 1-12

[Text] Business Investment Activities To Heet the Technological Revolution

Opinion Survey of Business Activities

Survey Bureau, Economic Planning Agency, 17 April 1985

This report puts together the results of a survey conducted, along the lines of the outline below, to grasp the latest awareness and activities of business, especially capital investment activities, in dealing with the advance of the technological revolution.

# A. Subjects of the Survey

1,623 companies (financial and insurance companies excluded) out of the 1st and 2d tier companies listed on the Tokyo, Osaka, and Nagoya stock exchanges

- B. Period of the Survey January 1985
- C. Method of the Survey

Self-prepared response to survey sent by mail

- D. Sections of the Survey
  - 1. Future Economic Growth Rate, Demand Forecast, Business Environment
  - 2. Technological Revolution and Carital Investment Activities
  - 3. Trend of Direct Investment Overseas
- E. Effective Response Rate

Number of firms responding: 1,158; Effective Response Rate: 71 percent

Sommary of Survey Results

I. Future Economic Growth Rate, Demand Forecast, Business Environment

(Economic Growth Rate Forecast)

1. Real Economic Growth Rate of 4.7 Percent Expected for 1985

If we look at what sort of future economic growth pattern the firms estimate for the Japanese economy, we find that, with regard to the real economic growth rate for 1985, 70 percent of the firms predict 4 percent growth, and just over 20 percent expect 5 percent growth. Put together, about 90 percent of the firms expect growth of around 4-5 percent. The average came to 4.7 percent.

Furthermore, the growth rates that the companies expected for the next 3 years up to 1987 and the next 5 years up to 1989 were 4.5 percent and 4.4 percent, respectively. This medium term expected growth rate is slightly under the expected growth rate for 1985, but it is 0.2 points above that of the previous survey (January 1983).

(Growth Rate Forecasts of Business Demand)

2. Growth Rate Forecasts of Business Demand Differ Considerably by Industry

When it came to the future trend of business demand, the companies set down more moderate forecasts than their predictions of the macroeconomic growth rate. Moreover, considerable variance appeared according to the industry queried. The real growth rate estimate of business demand for 1985 was an average of 4.2 percent across all industries, but when looking at this from the standpoint of individual industries, the variance was quite great, ranging from 8 percent for the electric equipment industry to 1 percent for the oil and coal, and shipbuilding industries. In general, the demand estimates of the processed manufactured goods industries (see note) were high. Business demand for the next 3 years and the next 5 years is forecast to be an average of 4.1 percent across all industries. In this medium term business demand forecast as well, the variance among individual industries is virtually similar to the 1985 forecast.

Note: Raw materials industries: textiles, pulp and paper, chemicals (organic and inorganic), steel, nonferrous metals

Processing industries: general machines, electric equipment, transporting equipment, precision equipment

Other manufacturing industries: food products, chemicals (pharmaceuticals, etc.), oil and coal, rubber, ceramics and earthenware, metal goods, miscellaneous manufacturing industries

(Business Environment)

3. Companies, in General, Concerned Over Trand of Domestic Demand

When we look at what sort of concerns the companies have with the current business environment as the economy continues its steady expansion, we find that over 80 percent of the firms answered that the trend of "domestic demand" was their prime concern. Many companies also had serious concerns about "business competition," "the price of manufactured goods," and "the overseas economy (demand)." In terms of individual industries, the industries greatly effected by market conditions, such as pulp and paper, oil and coal, nonferrous metals, and shipbuilding, indicated a high degree of concern about "the price of manufactured products." The metals goods, general machine, shipbuilding, and trading industries expressed concern over "business competition." Processing industries where the tempo of technological progress is fast, such as the electric equipment, automobile and automobile parts, and precision equipment industries, expressed great concern over "technological trends." The construction industry was worried about "public service investment." Moreover, the responses of the automobile and automobile parts and electr' equipment (light electrical equipment), and steel industries indicated anx' ver "trade friction and protectionist pressures."

4. Medium- and Long-Term Concern Over the Arrival of the Information Society and the Old Age Society

With regard to the medium— and long-term business environment, the greatest concern is also over "domestic demand." Moreover, a greater degree of concern than that at present is shown for "technological trends," "the transformation into a high information economic society," "the progress of transformation into an aging society," "pursuit by the industrializing countries," and "the diversification of values." In particular, the processing industries ranked "technological trends" as their primary concern. In addition, one—third of the firms were concerned about "the transformation into a high information economic society" and "the progress of transformation into an aging society."

II. Technological Revolution and Capital Investment Activities

(Medium-Term Forecast for Capital Investment)

5. Over Half the Firms to Invest Above 5 Percent; Electrical Equipment and Precision Equipment Firms Are Most Positive

Looking at the medium-term trend of capital investment, we find that, in the midst of a technological revolution, over 50 percent of the firms expect to "increase" (more than 5 percent annually at a nominal rate) their capital investment over the next 3 years through 1987. Over 40 percent plan to remain "practically the same" (above -5 percent but less than +5 percent). As for individual industries, 70 percent of the companies in the electric equipment, pulp and paper, ceramics and earthenware, and precision equipment industries

expect an "increase." In general, the manufacturing industries, and in particular, the processing industries, contain many firms predicting an "increase." Around one-third of the electric equipment and precision equipment companies are forecasting a "large increase" (above 10 percent).

(Priorities of Capital Investment)

6. Greatest Priorities Are Reduced Labor and Increased Efficiency

As far as the priority areas of capital investment over the next 3 years are concerned, over 70 percent of the firms raised "reduced labor and increased efficiency." The manufacturing industries are also emphasizing "advances into new products and new fields," and the nonmanufacturing industries are emphasizing; innovations in plant and equipment. Moreover, over half of the firms in the processing industries are placing emphasis on "research and development."

(Factors for Deciding To Go Ahead With Capital Investment)

7. Eighty Percent of the Processing Industry Firms Said To Meet the Challenge of the Technological Revolution

As for the factors that companies are paying attention to when making capital investments, the manufacturing industry, over the past 3 years, has given the most weight to "the manufactured products demand forecast," "response to technological innovation," and "level of earnings." The nonmanufacturing industries have given the greatest weight to "the operational condition of existing equipment," "level of earnings," and "maintenance and expansion of market share." With regard to the next 3 years, the manufacturing industry, generally speaking, is paying the closest attention to "the operational condition of existing equipment," but in contrast with the past, attention to "response to technological innovation" and "expected earnings rate of the investment" is increasing. In particular, about 80 percent of the firms in the processing industries raised "response to technological innovation" as a basic factor for investment. The nonmanufacturing industries are stressing "maintenance and expansion of market share," "level of earnings," and "operational condition of existing equipment" for the future.

(Deterioration and Obsolescence of Equipment)

8. Deterioration and Obsolescence Move Forward in Over Half the Firms

Close to 60 percent of the firms stated that the deterioration of plant and equipment was "progressing" faster than 5 years ago. Deterioration in the raw materials industries, such as pulp and paper, nonferrous metals, and chemicals (organic and inorganic) was particularly noteworthy. On the other hand, the degree of deterioration in the electric equipment, chemical (pharmaceuticals, etc.), precision equipment, electric power and gas, and transportation and communications industries was comparatively small. Moreover, close to half of the firms reported that the economic asolescence of the plant

and equipment was "progressing" faster than 5 years before. Generally speaking, obsolescence in the processing industries, where the tempo of technological progress is rapid, is "progressing" relatively fast.

(Planned Replacement of Deteriorated and Obsolete Equipment)

9. Over 90 Percent of the Firms Plan Replacement

Over 90 percent of the firms said that they "plan to replace" plant and equipment where deterioration and obsolescence have "become problems." Half of the firms who are not positively planning replacements said that the equipment "would be possible to use by means of repair."

10. Over Half the Firms Plan to Increase Use of Leases

Close to 100 percent of the companies "already use" leases as a substitute for capital investment. Moreover, over half of the firms said they "plan to increase" their use of leases over the next 3 years. Of the leased equipment "already in use," almost 100 percent of the firms are leasing office equipment. Fifty percent are leasing production and sales equipment and vehicular and conveyance equipment. The equipment that the firms said they most "plan to increase" the use of leases for in the future was office equipment.

(Level of Technology and Level of Technological Research Strength)

11. Level of Technology Has Caught Up With and Passed the United States

When we look at their awareness of the level of technology of Japanese firms in comparison with that of American firms, we find that whereas 5 years ago 17 percent of the firms believed Japan to be "superior"; 58 percent, "on par"; and 25 percent, "inferior," today, 25 percent of the firms believe Japan to be "superior"; 64 percent, "on par"; and 11 percent, "inferior." In addition, 32 percent of the companies believe that 5 years hence, Japan will be "superior"; 63 percent, "on par"; and 5 percent, "inferior."

When we turn to looking at the awareness of the technological research strength of Japanese firms, we find that whereas 5 years ago, 12 percent of the firms believed that Japanese firms were "superior" to American firms in this regard; 47 percent, "on par"; and 42 percent, "inferior," today 17 percent of the firms believe that Japan is "superior"; 55 percent, "on par"; and 29 percent, "inferior." Fully 23 percent of the firms believe that 5 years from now Japan will be "superior"; 63 percent, "on par"; and 14 percent, "inferior."

(Self-Development of Technology and Introduction of Technology)

12. Stress on Self-Development of Technology

When we look at the ways to fight to succeed by the development of technology and the introduction of technology, we find that 60 percent of the firms responded with "the self-development of technology." "Introduction of technology

from other domestic firms" and "introduction of technology from foreign firms" were the responses of a little over 10 percent of the firms each. Sixty percent of the firms that chose "to emphasize the self-development of technology" did so because "self-development of technology has a high earnings potential." Thirty percent did so because "there are no technologies to introduce." Reasons given for "emphasizing the introduction of technology from other domestic firms and foreign firms" were "the cost is cheap," "the risk is small," and "a system for the self development of technology has not been put in place."

Moreover, 60 percent of the firms view "the introduction of technology from foreign firms" as "not having changed much at present," but, on the other hand, one-third of the firms view it as "becoming difficult."

13. Sixty Percent of the Firms To Proceed With Research and Development on Their Own

When we look at the main research system for the future self-development of technology, we find that the greatest response, given by 60 percent of the firms was "to proceed with research and development on their own." Other responses given were "cooperative development with other companies from different industries," "joint development within the keiretsu [company affiliated firms] group," and "joint development with research organizations, such as universities." When we look at the manufacturing industries, the raw materials industries placed these in the following order: "develop on one's own," "with companies from other industries," and "with research organizations, such as universities." The processing industries chose the following order: "develop on one's own," "within the keiretsu," and "with research organizations, such as universities."

(Focal Points of Research and Development and Percentage of Research and Development Costs)

14. Focal Points Are Development of New Products and Applied Research of Existing Products

Sixty percent of the firms said that "the development of new products (commercial products)" would be a focal point of research and development, and 60 percent said that "applied research of already existing products (commercial products)" would be a focal point. "Applications and development for diversification and inroads into new fields" held the top spot.

15. Percentage of Research and Development Costs To Increase

When looking at the trend of the percentage of research and development costs in terms of sales, we find that 70 percent of the firms said that it would "rise" in comparison with 5 years ago, and 30 percent said that it would "remain virtually the same." Eighty percent of the companies believe that it will "rise" over the next 5 years, and 20 percent believe that it will "remain the same."

When we view the manufacturing industries, many of the processing industry firms said that the percentage of research and development costs had "risen greatly" in comparison with 5 years ago and "would rise greatly" 5 years hence.

#### III. Trend of Direct Investment Overseas

(Record and Forecast of Direct Investment Overseas)

# 16. Fifty Percent of the Firms Expect To Expand Direct Investment Overseas

Just less than 50 percent of the firms are "carrying out" direct investment overseas. Of the manufacturing firms, a little over 40 percent of the raw materials firms are doing so, and 60 percent of the processing firms are doing so. Forty percent of the nonmanufacturing firms are doing so. Next, when we look at the firms "carrying out" investment overseas, over 50 percent of these firms "expanded" direct investment overseas during the past 5 years, and over 40 percent kept their investments "at the same level." Over 50 percent of the firms plan to "expand" over the next 5 years and over 40 percent plan to remain "at the same level."

# 17. Surge of Firms Planning To Invest in China

When looking at partner countries with regard to the firms "carrying out" direct investment overseas, most of the firms (70 percent), in terms of their records over the past 5 years, invested in "Asia." Sixty percent invested in "the United States and Canada," over 30 percent in "Europe (excluding the Communist bloc)," and some in "Latin America." As for 5 years from now, over 70 percent plan to be in "Asia," less than 60 percent in "the United States and Canada," and over 30 percent in "Europe." Within Asia, there is a surge of firms that plan to invest in "China." (4 percent in the past, 28 percent in the future.)

(Reasons for Making Direct Investments Overseas)

# 18. Objective of Direct Investments Overseas Is to Expand Markets

When we look at the chief reasons for making direct investments overseas, we find that the greatest one (80 percent of the firms) is "to expand market outlets in the partner country's market." Other reasons are "to expand market outlets in third country markets," "to guarantee the price of raw materials and resources in the local area," "because exports from Japan have become difficult due to local import restrictions." When we look at individual industries, we find that "to expand market outlets in the partner country's market" occupies the top spot in each industry. In the second spot for the raw materials industries and the other manufacturing industries is "to guarantee the price of raw materials and resources of the local area." In second place for the processing industries is "because exports from Japan have become difficult due to local import restrictions." For the nonmanufacturing industries, second place is held by "to expand market outlets in third country markets."

As far as problems surrounding direct overseas investment are concerned, the greatest problem, which 60 percent of the companies gave, was "the fear of political instability and nationalization in the developing countries." Others were "guarantee of a good labor force," "personnel matters of employees assigned overseas," and "labor-management practices."

1984 Opinion Survey of Business Activities

Major Statistical Tables

Table 1. 1985 Real Economic Growth Rate Forecast for Japan

	•	-		紀入社章 金 計 (7)	(8)		1 发出上 2 发来到	6.	3 常以上 4 常東面	4 %以上 8 %未得	5 % U L 6 % R.A	6 製以上 7 製象集	7岁以上 8万余点	8 % 以上 9 % 来為	9 % 日上	10%LI
1			2	1, 140	-	-	-	0.4		44.1	22.4	1.3	0.1	0.1	-	0
	1			749	-	-	-	0.5	6.7	69. 2	22.0	1.3	0 1			0 1
	= 11	21	1	222		-	-	0.5	1 8.1	73. 4	16.7	0.9	0.5	-		
П	ÞI	21	18	304		-		. 1.0		68.1	23 4	1.3		•	-	0.3
	to			. 223	-				6.3	. 65.4	25.6	1.8	-	-	-	
*		2		391"	-			0.3	1.2	65 0	23_0	1.1		0.3		

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- Processing industries
   Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered (as responding)
- 8. Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less than ...
- 10. Less than ...

Table 2. 1985-87 Average Real Economic Growth Rate Forecast

					起入社會會 計(7)	0% <b>2.4</b> (8)	0 次以上 1 次 未 用 (9)	0.77	41000000			8 % R.A 8 % R.A	100000		9%&A	9 % EL E	10% 11
k				n	1, 133	-	-	, -	0.7	21.6	55. i	17. 4	1.3	0.4	-	-	0 1
11		4		E	143		-	-	0.7	25.1	55.7	15. 9	1.2	0.3			0.1
		N S	2 2	9	218		-	-	-	30 3	55.0	13.3	0.9	0.5	-	-	-
	-	I	1	10	302	-		-	1.0	22.3	\$1. 3	18.2	0.3	0.3			0.1
	21	2 2	N.	3 2	223	-	-	-	0.9	25.9	54.3	15.2	2.7		•		-
	-		2	=	390	-	1	-	0.8	21. 8	31.9	20 3	1.5	0.8		-	-

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less than ...
- 10. Less than ...

Table 3. 1985-89 Average Real Economic Growth Rate Forecast

3				•	- 1	起入社故 他(7)計	0 2 9 9					STRA					9 % LI E 10% R A	10%11
È		4	1		*	1. 123	-	-	2.1	1. 3	22. 6	49 2	17. 4	1.8	0.8	0.1		0 1
12		-	1		2	T38	-	-		1.5	32.8	47. 3	16.8	1.1	0.4	-		0.1
		M	2		1	219	-	-	-	1.4	37. 4	46. 6	12.2	0.9	0.5	-	-	-
		I		1	-	299	-		-	2.3	28.1	48. 2	29. 4	6.3	0.3			0.3
	ŧ	21	2 11	1	2	220	-	-		0.5	34.5	45.8	15.8	2.3	0.1	-		
3	-	1			×	395	-	-	0.3	1.0	23. 4	82.7	18. 4	3.1	0.8	0.3		

- All industries
   Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less han ...
- 10. Less than ...

Table 4. 1985 Real Business Demand Growth Rate Forecast

				起入社會 會 計 (7)	0%RA (8)	0%UL 1%## (9)	1 % U L	1584 1584	1284	1501 1588	5 % U L 6 % R.A		1%UE 8%##			10%
È			=	1, 117	2.0	12.1	7.3	15.9	29.1	12.4	11.7	1.9	3,1	1.9	0.5	2
11	4		1	731	2.0	11.6	5.3	13.8	15.6	12 7	12.3	4.6	4.1	2.3	0.7	12
ı	2 8		. 4	216	3.2	11.1	6.9	13.9	22.7	19.3	10.2	4.6	3.2	0.5		4.
1	BI			238	9.3	8.7	3.4	10.4	36.1	7.4	12 1	5.4	6.0	3.4	1.2	23
J	201	2 H.	0 2	219	1.2	16.0	6.8	18.3	18.7	12.3	14.6	3.7	2.3		0.5	1
3	-		1	284	1.5	12.0	10.7	29.1	22 1	12.5	10.7	2.6	1.3	1.0	6.3	1

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less than ...
- 10. Less chan ...

Table 5. 1985-87 Average Real Business Demand Growth Rate Forecast

					股人社1 (7)	ONRA	0 祭出上 1 祭泉集 (9)	1 % H A 2 % R A		3 写出上			6年以上 7年8月				10% E1
		4		T	1, 110	1.5	9.0	7.8	19 1	22.1	12.4	12. 3	3.1	3.0	1.6	0.3	7.
n		3		1	726	1.2	8.5	6.3	18.0	21.9	11.2	12 1	3.7	39	2.3	0.4	10
	2	1 1	1 1	-	213	0.5	1.1	7.0	21.6	- 26.3	16 0	9.9	1.9	1.9	0.5	0.5	_ ( :
П			1 1	88	291	1.0	5.1	4.4	13.2	15.9	8.1	14.6	5.8	6.8	4.7	0.7	19 3
	20		11 4	2	, 216	2.3	11.3	. 1	21 1	25 7	10.6	11.9	2.8	1.8	0.9	•	3 3
	- 81		2	=	384	2.1	9.9	10.7	21.1	22.4	14.8	12.2	1.8	1.3	0.3	66	3 (

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less than ...
- 10. Less than ...

Table 6. 1985-89 Average Real Business Demand Growth Rate Forecast

						起入社会 合 計 (7)	0 % £ 3 (8)	0 為以上 1 ※ 乗集 (9)	1 %U ±	2%UL 3%#A				6岁以上 7岁来再				1000111
ź		-			-	1, 100	1.0	8 3		17.9	23 3	12.5	12.6	3.3	2.9	1.5	0.3	7 5
80		2			1	721	1.1	7. 5	7.4	17.5	22 6	11 2	13.0	3 6	2.9	1.9	0.4	9.6
1	3	Ħ	=	T.		213	0.5	9.4	8.0	20.2	28 6	15.5		2.3	0.9	0 9	-	4.7
1		I			4	293	1.0	1.8	4.8	13.7	16.0	. 9.6	16.0	5.1	7. 2	3.4	1.0	18 4
	21	0 =	l H		E	215	1.9	10 7	10.2	20 0	25 6	9.3	13.0	2 8	2.3	0.9	-	3 3
8	-				2	379	0.8		. 11.9	18.7	21.5	15.0	11.9	2.6	1.1	4.8	-	2 9

- 1. All industries
  2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- Nonmanufacturing industries
   Total number of firms entered
- Less than ...
- 9. More than ... less than ...
- 10. Less than ...

Table 7. Items of Concern in the Current Business Environment

					#(7)#	国内名录 (8)	<b>VANS</b> (9)	(10)	世別教育			0 B A (14)		の高度		-64
Ì		•			1. 153	85.8	50 7	34 0	37 0	23 3	63 1	8.7	14.3	14 2	11.1	1
11.		•		=	761	86.7	e5 6	29.6	49 0	19.8	65	9.9	7.5	8.1	8.1	
	2 1				223	84.3	81.2	57.8	32.7	13.5	48.5	24.7	9.0	3.1	6.7	(
	» I		1	-	309	85.4	53.4	15.5	63. 6	29.8	71.5	1.0	4.2	10.0	7.8	1
	to		14	2	. 229	90.8	66.8	54.1	45 1	12 7	73	7.4	10.3	11.4	10.9	
*	-		1	=	. 394	84.0	22.1	23.4	- 13.7	29.9	64 5	8.4	27.9	25 1	16.2	1

						3 H		Æ	24 E	3	食事等を発送 表的性 (21)	主力)	原油商場 の 動 病 (22)		中道面の 追い上げ (24)		A 14 4 50	表 別 投資股基 日本 (27)	282¥ (28)	29)	₹ Ø # (30)
ŷ		4			2	1	2	7	- 36	1	11	. 9	11.3	26.3	3.5	2.1	1.3	6.7	18.9	13 3	1.0
if		3			1		4	7	41	9	11	1	8.5	27 0	4.1	1.6	0.6	2 1	13 9	6.8	0.9
1	2,	H	:	1	16		4.	5	37	2	Ĩ	3	12.6	36.3	6.7	1.3	1.3	2.2	11.2	9.9	0.1
1		1.		1	m		5	5	70	2	21	7	19	21.9	4.5	2.3	0.3	1.9	15.3	2.6	0.1
1	2	2 1	0 1	12		1	7	0	18	1	•	1.7	10.9	27 5	0.9	0 9	0.9	3.1	14.4	9.6	2.3
1		1	2		7	7	90	6	21	,	1		16.5	22.6	2.3	41	2.3	13 0	28 1	25 9	1.1

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Domestic demand
- 9. Price of manufactured goods
- 10. Raw materials prices
- 11. Technological trends
- 12. Capital investment trends
- 13. Business competition
- 14. Imports trends
- 15. Wage trends
- 16. Transformation into a high information economic society
- 17. Progress of transformation into an old age society

- 18. Transformation into a service economy
- 19. Diversification of values
- 20. Overseas economy (overseas demand)
- 21. Trade friction and protectionist pressures
- 22. Oil market trends
- 23. Exchange rate fluctuations (price of the yen)
- 24. Pursuit by the industrializing countries
- 25. Country risk
- 26. Overseas interest rates
- 27. Tax system (preferential tax measures for investment, etc.)
- 28. Public service investment
- 29. Domestic interest rates
- 30. Miscellaneous

Table 8. Items of Concern for the Medium- and Long-Term Business Environment

-						起入社會 會 計 (7)	#A## (8)	<b>DAGS</b>	(10	-	西田 (11)	0 (12	A (A)	4 R R (13)	成入品 の数件 (14)	日 自 向 (15)	日本社会 の 系 理 は 形 化 (16)	0 a	E.	日前の - 2 ス( (18)
*					=	1,148	76.0	32 4	27		52.2	21	1	85.7	9.4	12.1	. 31.3	2	9 0	9
H		4			=	757	75.7	40.7	32	1	66.2	19	7	54.8	11.8	6.3	21.6	Z	7 2	5
	:	M			리	232	71.6	90 5	43	1	56.3	15		47.7	26.6	6.3	29.3	21	1	5
1	-	I		1	=	307	75.2	28.7	14	0	78.5	28	7	36.4	1.0	4.9	30 0	23	1 5	1
	ŧ	0	1 10		=	, 228	80.3	47 4	45	2	59.2	11		29.6	11.8	8 3	21 5	33	1 1	7 (
3	-					391	76.5	16.4	19	4	23.1	23		57.3	4.9	23 3	44 2	31	3	16

						<ul><li>無益限の</li><li>り む む</li><li>(19)</li></ul>	8581 8589 (20)	東島母園 と集器主 造的圧力 (21)	0 B A (22)		中国国の aい上if (24)		E 7 2 11	数 数策度を の数率 (27)	全角音量 (28)	Ens#	€ n m
R			1			17.6	23.7	11.9	13.9	17 2	10.5	3.0	1.2	8.7	15.9	9.1	0.8
11		-				14.7	38.7	13.9	12.7	18.1	12.9	2.2	0.9	2.2	11.6	4.2	0.9
1	=	H		I	85	13.1	30.2	7.2	23.0	18.9	17.6	1.1	1.8	2.7	7.7	1.5	0 5
1		I			H	9.1	59.6	24.1	5.5	16.3	16.3	3.9	0.7	1.3	13.4	2 3	0 7
	\$	2		1		23.7	18 9	6.6	12.3	19.7	3.9.	0.9	0.1	3.1	13.2	6.6	1.0
*	-					23.3	24.0	8.2	16.4	15.3	5.9	4.6	1.1	12 3	24.0	18 7	0.5

- All industries 1.
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- Processing industries 4.
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Domestic demand
- 9. Price of manufactured goods
- 10. Raw materials prices
- 11. Technological trends
- 12. Capital investment trends
- 13. Business competition
- 14. Imports trends
- 15. Wage trends
- 16. Transformation into a high infor- 28. Public service investment mation economic society
- 17. Progress of transformation into an old age society

- 18. Transformation into a service economy
- 19. Diversification of values
- 20. Overseas economy (overseas demand)
- 21. Trade friction and protectionist pressures
- 22. 011 market trends
- 23. Exchange rate fluctuations (price of the yen)
- 24. Pursuit by the industrializing countries
- 25. Country risk
- 26. Overseas interest rates
- 27. Tax system (preferential tax measures for investment, etc.)
- 29. Domestic interest rates
- 30, Miscellaneous

Table 9. 1985-87 Average Capital Investment Forecast

				2242		(8)		<b>建設策が</b>		(13)	
				# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	4 p	大阪司加 (10)	(11)	(12)	4(14)	7755	*#4 }
*			-	1.153	52.1	15 7	36.4	42.1	3.5	3.4	21
-			E	763	57.0	18.3	- 20.4	37.1	5.0	3.7	1.3
		2 1		23	56.9	16.1	40 1	37 3	3.0	4.9	0.9
П	. 1		1	309	64.1	21.6	39.5	32.4	3.6	2.3	1.3
	to		E	239	50 7	11.8	38.9	43.2	6.1	4.4	Li
				290	40.8	10.3	30 1	52.8	61	2.8	3 6

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Increase

- 9. Subtotal
- 10. Large increase
- 11. Small increase
- 12. Remain at the same level
- 13. Decrease
- 14. Subtotal
- 15. Small decrease
- 16. Large decrease

Table 10. Priorities in Future (Next 3 Years) Capital Investment (total of 1st-3d places)

			28	B		(118	n & .		R	5 + R	m m ~	8		858	*
			A & (8) B H	0 (9	# (10	) \$12	e Ha	2 E	# E		# 5 mm = 1 mm	-	E 25 8	# C	0
•		2	1,151	48.6	38.4	72.9	31	52 3		5.0	47.6	21.9	35.9	11.9	1
	•		762	45.8	37.7	79.3	15.2	71.1	5.5	5.8	54.3	15.5	43.3	1.8	
ſ			275	49.5	35.6	72.9	12.9	61.0	5.3	12.9	60 0	22.2	34.7	0.1	0
1		-	309	44.3	40.5	81.2	11.9	78 0	1.6		56 0	9.7	52.1	1.6	1.
1	2001		229	42.9	26 9	82.9	18.0	41	12.3	6.6	53 1	16.7	29 9	3 5	
-1-			789	54.0	29.4	60.4	44 5	15 4	15.0	3.0	30 6	34.4	21 3	31.6	3

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. (Not used)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Replacement of plant and equipment
- 10. Increase capacity
- 11. Reducing labor and increasing efficiency
- 12. Subtotal
- 13. Administrative sector
- 14. Manufacturing sector
- 15. Distribution sector
- 16. Energy conservation and alternate energy resources
- Advances into new products and new fields
- 18. Plant and equipment repair
- Research and development
   New construction or remodeling of offices and stores
- 21. Hiscellaneous

Table 11. Factors Considered When Deciding on Capital Investment (for the past 3 years)

				- 1	起入社会 会 計 (7)	製品需要 見通し (8)	既存設備の 註 備 状 (9)	<b>在技术</b> ● (10)	教育の子 通教監事 (11)	マーチットシェア の無持・ 佐 大 (12)	金利水平 (13)	税制上の 投資股通 譜 数 (14)	技術革新 への対応 (15)	9 A R	その他 (17)
£		A			1, 148	63. 7	- 50. 1	44. 2	33. 8	38. 9	2.4	2. 1	46. 0	11.5	2.
41		2		E	762	76.8	46. 2	40. 3	36. 4	34.3	0. 7	2. 0	51. 2	9. 4	1.
1	= (	4 5		0	23	75. 6	40. 0	39. 6	51.6	32. 9	1.3	2. 2	42.2	10. 7	2.
1	h :	L	1 2	#	306	78. 9	45. 5	42.5	32. 1	31. 2	0. 3	1.3	59 1	5. 5	11
	25		n a	2	. 239	75. 1	53. 3	38. 0	27. 1	39. 7	, 0.4	2. 6	49. 3	13. 5	0.
3	31		a	I	386	37. 8	57.8	- 51.8	28. 8	45 2	6. 0	2. 3	35. 8	15.5	5. 5

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Manufactured products demand forecast
- 9. Operational condition of existing equipment
- 10. Level of earnings
- 11. Expected earnings rate of investment
- 12. Maintenance and expansion of market share
- 13. Level of interest rates
- 14. Preferential tax measures for investment
- 15. Response to technological innovation
- 16. Diversification
- 17. Miscellaneous

Table 12. Factors Considered When Deciding on Capital Investment (for the next 3 years)

						起入社数 合 計 (7)	化品需要 見通し (8)	既存設備の ほ 働 状 (9)況	<b>収益水準</b> (10)	投資の子 建収益率 (11)	マーケッ トシェフ の国特・ 世(12)	金科本準 (13)	裁制上の 投資収益 推 配 (14)	性南革新 への対応 (15)	多 角 化 (16)	₹ の <b>@</b> (17)
ż		8		1	R	1.147	61. 1	29. 7	40. 8	39. 1	39. 7	2.3	1. 3	59. 3	19. 4	2 7
\$2		3		1		761	73. 3	23. 3	26.0	42.7	33. 2	0.7	1.1	68 5	18 1	1.3
1	=	11	2 1		0	225	69. 8	19.6	35.6	57. 3	30 2	0.4	1.8	60 0	21 3	2 :
	30	I	2 1		0	307	75. 6	22. 5	35. 8	38. 1	33. 2	0.7	0. 3	78. 2	12.7	1.3
	+1		\$1.5	1		229	73.8	27. 9	36. 7	34. 5	36 2	0.9	1.3	63.8	22.3	0 4
#	N		2	1		356	37. 0	42.5	50.3	31. 9	52.3	5.4	1.8	41. 2	21.8	5 (

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Normanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Manufactured products demand forecast
- 9. Operational condition of existing equipment
- 10. Level of earnings
- Expected earnings rate of investment
   Maintenance and expansion of market share
- 13. Level of interest rates
- 14. Preferential tax measures for investment
- 15. Response to technological innovation
- 16. Diversification 17. Miscellaneous

Table 13. Forecast of New Equipment Installation as a Result of Technological Innovation

			* *	(8	3) N (		4 C		
			記入社費 會計 (7).	(9a) (10)	機に 理 大様に理論	表表 (2) M	増加予定なし	14) 上重し 今後日間 子 定	イ R も 予定なし
ż		2	1, 158	36.3	7. 2	29.1	37	6.6	53.5
10	1		763	52.9	10, 9	42.1	4.8	9.0	33 2
	= # 2 2	. 6	225	29.3	2.7	26.7	4.0	16 0	50 7
	BIBS	6	309	83.2	21.0	62.1	4.9	3 6	8.4
	その意製	3 2	. 229	35.4	5.2	30 1	5.7	9.6	49.3
*		2	395	4.1	-	4.1	1.5	1.8	92.7

			: (17)	÷ #	,	•		
		起入胜数	_	E 1: 8		700000	114 胎温して	じいない
				4 1		理加于证	今後拉亚	4 16 6
		8 11	# J#	大道に増加	作子は神	4	7 2	722L
ř	8 I	1, 158	30.9	1.1	23 6	2.5	16 0	50 6
50	4 E	763	43.9	10.9	33.0	3.0	20, 3	32.6
1	211221	,225	28. 9	3.6	25.3	1.8	18 7	50 7
	* 1 2 2 1	309	61. 8	20 4	41.4	4.2	18 4	15.5
	townar	229	34.5	3.2	29.3	2.6	21.5	29 -
	N 2 1	395	5.8	0.5	1.3	1.5	7.6	85 1

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. NC and MC [Numerical controls and 20. machining centers] 21.
- 9. Already installed
- 9a. Increase
- 10. Subtotal
- 11. Large increase
- 12. Small increase
- 13. No planned increase
- 14. Have not installed

- 15. Plan to install in future
- 16. Have no plans for future
- 17. Robots
- CAD/CAM [computer-assisted design/ computer-assisted manufacturing]
- 19. Other FA [factory automation] equipment
- 20. General purpose computers
- 21. Office computers
- 22. On-line terminal equipment
- 23. Facsimile
- 24. LAN [local area network]
- 25. Other OA [office automation] equipment

			(18)	CA	D	CA	M 音楽して	しゅったもい
		記入社費 會 計	亦 IF	大量に利加	<b>要干海加</b>	増加予定なし	<b>今世日</b> 司 于 定	今 後 代 予定なし
		1, 158	27.5	8.5	19.0	1.2	20 0	49
2		763	35.4	11.0	24.4	3.8	24. 1	36
9		225	22.7	1.6	19. 1	1.8	21.4	51.
ı		309	56.3	21.0	35.3	5.8	26.9	11.
ı	tonua:	229	19.7	4.8	14.8	3.1	20.1	57
ا		395	12.4	3.8	8.6	2.0	12.2	73.

			(19)	+ 0	PA	2 5	BELT	יינייי
		2.20 6 計	4 H	大田に地路	<b>表干海加</b>	増加予定なし	<b>李俊拉莱</b>	今 後 も 予定なし
_			1.2	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.4	98 0
k	8 7	1, 158 763	1.3	. 0.8	0.5	0 3	0.7	97. 8
1	3 6	225	1.0	0.9	0.9		-	98.2
١	2 11 2 2 2 4	309	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.6	98.1
	BIGRE	239	1.3	1.3		0.4	1.3	96 1
	SOE HAR	395	1.0	-	1.0	0.5	-	98

		(20)				BULL	11211
-	DAHR H	4 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	事を言い	増加予定なし	今班拉里	+#21
			7.	49.6	27 5	2. 5	13
	1.158				25 1	2.6	11
	761				25.3	3.1	14.
	225				27 8	1.9	
	309	60.8				11	12
	229	60 3	5.2			2 3	17
	4 E	# # 1.158 # 761 # 225 # 209	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	申 計 中 計 大幅に用加	中計 中計 大幅に用物 電子型油	世 計 中   大幅に用加 電子増加 程   1.158   56.3   6.7   49.6   27.5	世 計 中 計 大幅に理論 電子理論 在 し 子 里 1.158 56.3 6.7 49.6 27.5 2.5 a m 761 59.5 5.9 53.6 26.1 2.6 a m 762 59.5 56.9 5.8 51.1 25.3 3.1 25.3 3.1 25.8 m M 225 56.9 5.8 51.1 25.3 3.1 25.8 m M 225 56.9 5.8 51.1 25.3 3.1 25.3

		(21)				BALT	61761
	起入社数 会 計	1 H	大田に司加	₹ <b>干</b> 增加	増加予定なし	<b>李俊胜</b> 用 于	7#2L
	1, 158	72. 7	14.4	58 3	13.6	4.2	•
	763	71.3	14.2	60 2	12.7	3.8	10
	225	69.4	15 6	54 2	12.3	2.6	10
	- 309	75.1	13.3	63.8	11.8	3.5	1
toenar	229	77.7	11.0	34.7	15.2	5.1	10
	395	69. 4	14.9				

Г			(22):	2 9	イン器	末 Ц		
		尼人社市		. E C E	i a ii		B 型して	いない
			19		20	增加于定	今後 股 版	4 18 6
		8 11	小 計	大緒に増加	音干增加	te L	7 2	子定なし
1	4 1	1, 158	77. 9	25. 7	52.2	5.6	7. 8	8 7
12	2 2	763	81. 0	25. 4	53.6	5.5	7. 2	6.3
	211218	225	80 4	23 1	57. 3	5.8	7. 1	6. 7
		309	84.8	29. 4	55.3	2.3	6.1	6. 8
	その他製造業	, 229	76. 4	22.3	54. 1	9. 6	8.7	5.2
3	61 a E	395	71.9	26.3	45.6	5.8	8.9	13 4

						1		(23)	7	7	9		8		l		,				
			٠				起入社会	-		H C E	1		1	<b>F</b>			日	EL	The	1290	
						1			10		Jan .			14 1	Ŧ	2	4 M E	2	14	被	C
							ft It	ተ	81	大幅に増加	-	干均	ha	14		L	7	E	17	更な	L
<u>ê</u> :		-	ĸ			2	1. 158	64	9	9. 2		55	6		30	1	1	. 1		4	0
11		-			1	2	763	61	9	8.0		53	9		35	3	0	5		2	1
1	=	H		2 1		14	225	60	0	. 8.0		52.	0		37			-		2	2
	80	I	1	1 1	F	N	309	65	0	. 8.1		37	0		32.	0		-		2.	9
	ŧ	n		11	3	=	229	59.	4	7. 9		51.	5		37.	1	1	. 7		1.	7
*		i	-		1		395	70	6	11.6		59	0		20	0	2	3		7.	1

		(24) L.	AN (a	21	nanage	1)
		於人在章		E	BIL	ていない
		# #	8 2		量位的中 子 定	4 H 6
×	4 1	1, 158	6	7	27. 6	63 6
12	4 E	763 -	6	7	28.2	63, 1
		225	5	8	26.2	68.0
		309	9.	4	31_4	59.2
	その機能産業	. 229	3	9	25.8	70 3
4		395	6.	8	26.6	66.6

			(25)		0 /	R I		
		足入社也		EEB		Ä .	BILT	contro
			. 19		20	理由于定	4 # 2 2	4 18 1
		<b>a</b> II	4 11	大雄に増加	<b>若干增加</b>	12 L	7 %	子里在1
£		1, 158	4.7	2.0	2.8	0 8	0.4	91.0
M	a 1	763	4.5	1.8	2.6	0 7	0.4	94
1	2 H 2 X H	225	4.0	1.8	2.2	. 09		93
1	BIRIH	309	5 2	1.9	3 2	0 3	0 6	93 9
	tommas	229	39	1.7	2.2	0 9	0 4	91.1
		395	5.3	2.3	3 0	10	0.5	93 2

Table 14. Deterioration of Plant and Equipment

Г					(7) 現在(5年前と比べて)										(14) 4 後 5 年間										
				12)	社田	(9)	1	1	7		. 8	ほと		L.	入社数	(1	6	A	٠ ،	r	9.0	8	-	ほとん	E
				4	B	4	10)	1 2	している		ややさんでい	まわらない (13)		8 11		17	1128		かりんて	7	5	マヤ	0	まわらい (1	210
ź		1	1		. 158	1	57. 9	(11	)9.	1	12 48.		2.1	T	1, 158		58	1	8)	15	3 (	10/3	1	41	6
14			1		763	1	60 4		10	4	50	1 3	9.6	1.	763		59	5		15	9	43	6	.40	5
	2 #		2 1		225	1	68 0		16	0	52. (	) 1	2.0		225		61.	4		15	6	48	9	35	6
П	MI				309		56. 0	,	1.	4	48.	4	1.0		309		51	5		15	2	36	2	<b>4S</b>	5
	20	9 11	31		229	1	59. 0			7	50 2	. (	1. 0	Г	229		65	5		17.	0	43	5	34	5
3	81	1			395		53 2		1.	6	45.6	1	6. 8		395		5á	2		14.	2	42	0	43 (	8

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Present (compared to 5 years ago)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Progressing
- 10. Subtotal
- 11. Progressing considerably
- 12. Progressing somewhat
- Hardly changed at all
   Future 5 years hence
- 15. Total number of firms entered
- 16. Progressing
- 17. Subtotal
- Progressing considerably
   Progressing somewhat
- 20. Hardly changed at all

Table 15. Obsolescence of Plant and Equipment

	1.0		1	(	7) 現在	E(	5 #1	RE.	比べて	)		(14	4 接 5 年 题								
			1	起入社员 含(8)計	9) 直 小(10	んかん	なりてい	10	8 P P L T I'	2 6	ほとんど 変わらな い (13)	起入社政 会 計	167	Bi	し で かなりi んてい	まった	8 TV	Ø	ほとんと 食わらな い (20		
ì		1		1, 158	45	1(1	1)4	7(	12 90	8	54.6	1, 158	51.	4 (	18)1	7(19	) be	6	43 6		
23	4	1		763	47. 8	,	5	5	42	3	52.2	763	52	6	12	5	40	1	47. 4		
1	2 11		9	225	43.1		5	8	37.	3	56.9	225	48	9	10	2	35	7	51. 1		
	BI		9	309	51.8	1	5	8	46.	0	48.2	309	50		. 13	6	37	2	49. 2		
	ton		=	229	47. 2		4	8	42.	4	52.8	229	. 58	5	13.	1	45	4	41.5		
7	917	a 1	a	395	40 8		3	0	37.	1	59. 2	395	49.	1	10	4	38	7	50 9		

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Present (compared to 5 years ago)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Progressing 10. Subtotal
- 11. Progressing considerably
- 12. Progressing somewhat

- 13. Hardly changed at all
  14. Future 5 years hence
  15. Total number of firms entered
  16. Progressing
- 17. Subtotal
- 18. Progressing considerably 19. Progressing somewhat 20. Hardly changed at all

Table 16. Concern Over Deterioration and Obsolescence of Plant and Equipment

					- 1	(7)		. 15	£		(14	() E	R 69 88 W	. 6	
					- 1	BARE	(9)問題	になって	1. 4		BIRD	(16) 監 項	になって	* B	1
					- 1	g (8) It	+(10)H	日本に問題 になっている	やキ無理になっている	無難はない (13)	e (15 x)	4 (11)2	非常に問題 になっている	やや無知に なっている	(20)
±	Т		1		=	1. 158	52.8	(10) 5.7	(12)47 2	47.2	1 153	39 5	(16) 35	(19)36 0	61
22		-	1			763	57.1	4.5	52 3	42.9	163	41.2	11	40 9	53
1	=	H				225	64.9	7.1	57.8	35 1	235	40 4	2.7	37.8	5.0
П		I		1	5	309	51.0	4.5	49.5	46 0	309	49.2	4.2	45 0	5.)
	ŧ	2		1 3		- 229	53 7	3 :	50 7	46 3	229	41 0	2 6	38 4	59
3	1	1	-	1	-	395	41.6	7.3	37 2	55 4	295	30 4	3.8	26 6	682

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Deterioration
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Becoming a problem
- 10. Subtotal
- 11. Becoming an extreme problem
- 12. Becoming somewhat of a problem
- 13. No problem at all
- 14. Economic obsolescence
- 15. Total number of firms entered
- 16. Becoming a problem
- 17. Subtotal
- 18. Becoming an extreme problem
- 19. Becoming somewhat of a problem
- 20. No problem at all

Table 17. Plans for Replacement of Plant and Equipment

		起入社会	(8) K	(8) 見新する子里								
		a tt	+ B	050c 8 4 C	(12)							
k		768	93.6	26.6 67.1	6.4							
u	2 1	541	94.6	25.4 68.2	5.4							
		168	93.5	20 8 72 6	6.5							
		218	95. 9	31.9 61.0	4.1							
П	tounas	155	94.2	20 6 73 5	5.8							
		227	91.2	26.9 64.3								

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Normanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Plans for replacement
- 9. Subtotal
- 10. Positively
- 11. Gradually
- 12. Difficult under the present circumstances

Table 18. Reasons for Being Unable to Replace Plant and Equipment

			配入社员 作(7)	製品の需要 見画しが たたない (8)	数日の名 が 見込 な ・一 (9)		(10)	2	野路 単に 上り 使用可能 (11)	÷ (1
t			561	18.8	30	5	7		44.5	
u			396	21.6	31.	•		5	, 4L.2	
1			133	9.0	37 (	8	12	•	41.4	
	D I		142	29.6	29 (		7.	)	38 0	
	ŧ01	1	123	28.0	26 1			7	44.7	
-			- 166	12.0	28 :	3		)	52 4	

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Product demand forecast inadequate
- No improvement of earnings forecasted
- 10. Funds insufficient
- 11. Possible to use by repairs
- 12. Miscellaneous

# Table 19. Percentage of Funds Procured When Making Capital Investments

# (1) Total of 1st-3rd Past Rankings (Past 3 years)

		-				12.1	22				110	) 1	lt .			(15	<b>M</b>		9		19)4	1	A		
								内医量 (8)	-1	(9)	411	#	(12)	E229	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	J. (1)	1t	(17)	Ea2	9	1 (20	A CO	A	日本日	
*		4			=	1.	141	89	3	25.2	1	7.4	3.6	12.5	1.7		8 7	4.3	15	4	71.	(2	129	10	227
10		4	1	Π			757	90	5	26 6	1	1.9	2.8	14.8	2.2	2	1.0	4.5	17		68.1		56	1	42
	2	-					222	65	1	20.7	- 1	. 9	4.1	12.6	3 6	1	7. 6	6.3	11.	1	80 6		71	2	48
		I			4		308	91	6	29.9	2	- 1	2.6	16.9	2 1	2	5.6	4.5	22	4	62 3		48	4	39
	ŧ	21		1	=		227	90	7	27 8	- 85		1.8	14.1	0.9	81	8.4	2.6	16	3	64 (		52	0	39
3	-	H	4				384	87	0	22.7	1	1.3	5.7	8.1	0 5	1	4. 1	3 9	11	5	76 4		62	0	3.2

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- Processing industries
   Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Internal funds
- 9. Stock
- 10. Debentures
- 11. Subtotal
- 12. Ordinary debentures
- Convertible debentures
   Debentures with new stock issue authority attached
   Foreign funds
- 16. Subtotal
- 17. Ordinary debentures
- Convertible debentures
   Loans
- 20. Subtotal
- 21. Long term loans
- 22. Medium term loans

# (5) Total of 1st-3rd Future Rankings (Next 3 Years)

					P	人社會			(10)	lt .				(15)#		¥	199		A 1	È
						7) Bt	(8)	(9)	+(11 <sup>8</sup> )	₩ 過社 (12)	EAR!	11 4	100	A #	#3E#	EALS (18)	4 (20)	1 0	人自己	A
£			_	,		1, 132	91.2	21.5	23.9	4.2	18.1	1	8	1ë 9	3.1	12.8	72	(21	B7 X 2	2 ):
80	_	1				753	92.2	2.1	26.2	2.5	22.1	1	2. 1	. 19.5	3 5	16.5	70	1	56.0	43
1		11				221	90.5	19.9	25.3	3.2	21. 3	1	2.3	18,1	4.5	14.0	80	3	6: 1	41
H	-	T			-	305	93.8	21.9	29.2	2.6	21.1	1	10	25.6	3 0	23 0	63	1_	47.2	#1
1	*1	2 8				227	91. 6	21.6	22.9	1.8	21. 1		1. 8	12.6	3.1	10. 1	78		54.8	10
-	-		-		-	379	89.2	19.5	19.3	7. 7	11.3		0.5	11.6	3.4	8.4	76	8	60.4	5

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- Total number of firms entered
   Internal funds
- 9. Stock
- 10. Debentures

- 11. Subtotal
  12. Ordinary debentures
  13. Convertible debentures
- 14. Debentures with new stock issue authority attached
- 15. Foreign funds
- 16. Subtotal 17. Ordinary debentures
- 18. Convertible debentures
- 19. Loans
- 20. Subtotal
- 21. Long term loans
- 22. Hedium term loans

Table 20. Use of Leases

			起人社會	(8)	1	長に利用	LTI	. 8		(13 /14	ILTH	to be
			8 Bi (7)	<b>(9)</b>	11	(10)	a e	••	R 9	*(14 <b>)</b> *	断たに 利用する	11 1
Ì		3	1. 158	96	9	54.8	39	3	2.6	3.1(	15) 0 40	(16)2.1
10			763	96	1	54.9	39	2	2.6	1.3	0.4	2.5
1	3 11 2	2.8	225	95	6	53.8	40	0	1.0	4.4	0.9	3.0
		2.8	309	- 96	1	55.7	¥	2	2.3	3.9	0.3	3.6
	toe	Haz	229	98	7	55.0	29.	1	1.9	1.3	-	1.3
*	91 4	. 1	395	97	2	54.7	10	0	2.5	2.0	0.5	2.3

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw moterials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Already using leases
- 9. Subtotal
- 10. Increase
- 11. Remain the same
- 12. Decrease
- 13. Have not been using leases
- 14. Subtotal
- 15. Will use
- 16. Will not use

Table 21. Level of Technology Compared to American Firms

r				(	7)	5 1			(12)				(17)	5 1	. 5	
				2	出土	<b>B Q</b> (9)	<b>ABR</b> (10)		起入社市 中130 <sup>計</sup>	@ B	<b>MRZ</b> (15)	5 E	EXER Figh	@ E	#82 (20)	8 E
*				1	961	17.0	58.2	- 21.9	963	25.3	63.8	90.9	962	32 3	62.4	1
10		4			741	37. 8	59.5	22.7	744	27. 2	64.5	8.3	742	35. 6	60.0	4.
	=	H			216	22.7	63.4	12. 9	218	20,4	62.9	3.7	217	33 2	64.5	2
		I			363	16.9	55.4	27.7	303	29.1	64.0	1.9	313	39 4	25.8	À
	•	0	1:		222	36.4	61.3	21.3	223	21.7	61.9	13.5	223	32.4	41.3	6
-	-	11	-		230	14.1	. 53.6	32.1	219	19.2	61.2	19. 6	230	n e	71.4	7

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- Other manufacturing industri
   Nonmanufacturing industries Other manufacturing industries
- 7. Past 5 years
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Superior
- 10. On par
- 11. Inferior
- 12. Present
- 13. Total number of firms entered
- 14. Superior
- 15. On par 16. Inferior
- 17. Next 5 years
- 18. Total number of firms entered
- 19. Superior 20. On par
- 21. Inferior

Table 22. Level of Technological Research Strength Compared to American Firms

П	-0 0			1	(1)	5 4			(12)		3		(17)	5 1		
					配入延型 金 (8)	<b>2 2</b> (9)	<b>AGE</b> (10)	8 R	但入他市 6 B	g a	MBX (15)	s a (16)	記入社会 会 計 (18)	<b>2 2</b> (19)	(20)	# C
*				可	958	11.6	46.6	41.5	97	16.7	54.6	23.6	956	22.9	65.4	10.1
					742	12.5	46.5	41.0	741	18.1	55.2	26.7	741	23.2	61.8	(3.0
1				╡	217	- 36.6	49.2	34.1	217	18 9	58.5	2.6	217	21.9	63 6	11.5
ı		I		미	303	11.2	42 6	46 2	303	19.1	50.5	20.4	303	27.1	59.1	13 1
1	*	0			222	30.4	49.1	40.5	221	13.8	58.4	25.5	221	23.1	63.8	13 (
ř				ᇕ	216	8.3	46.8	41.9	216	12.0	52.8	25 2	213	14.9	65 E	16 1

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Past 5 years
- 8. Total nymber of firms entered
- 9. Superior
- 10. On par
- 11. Inferior
- 12. Present
- 13. Total number of firms entered
- 14. Superior
- 15. On par
- 16. Inferior
- 17. Next 5 years
- 18. Total number of firms entered
- 19. Superior
- 20. On par
- 21. Inferior

Table 23. Dealing With Self Development of Technology and Introduction of Technology

			記入社数 金 計 (7)	自主改革 解 美 (8)	西市総金 集からの 技術導入 (9)	外国企業 からの 性策導入 (10)	技術課題。 技術導入を 行わない。	その他 (12)
主		2	1158	59. 8	12.8	12.0	14.3	1. (
H	2		763	74.0	9. 3	14.8	1. 2	0
1	= # 0		225	74.2	11.1	12.4	1. 8	0
	BIE	2 4	309	72.5	7. 4	18.8	0 6	0
	₹0 @ ₩		229	76.0	10.0	11. 8	1. 3	. 0
7		2	395	32.4	19.5	. 6.6	39. 7	1.1

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Self development of technology
- Introduction of technology from other domestic firms
- Introduction of technology from foreign firms
- Do not carry out development of technology or introduction of technology
- 12. Miscellaneous

Table 24. Reasons for Focusing on Self Development of Technology

		紀入社教 会 計	技術導入 が難しく なった (8)	16所達 する必要 がある (9)	自主技術開発のほうが 収益性が高い (10)	€ n m
k		693	4.9	29. 4	60 5	5. 2
in	4 2	. 565	5.7	30.4	59.6	4. 2
1		167	8.4	31. 7	56. 3	3 6
		221	6.3	29.9	58 9	4. 5
	tobular	174	2.3	29. 9	63. 8	4.0
*		128	. 1.6	25 0	64.1	9.4

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Introduction of technology became difficult
- 9. Creation on one's own is essential
- 10. Profit potential of self development of technology is high
- 11. Miscellaneous

Table 25. Reasons for Focusing on Introduction of Technology From Other Domestic Firms or From Foreign Firms

		起入社會 各 計(7)	自社で開発 するより、 リスタが小 さい。 (8)	自社で開発するよう。 コストが安い (9)	自主性前間 見の体制が できていない。 (10)	₹ n
k	2 3	287	. 32.1	43 2	23 0	
¥	2 2	184	38.6	39. 7	. 20.1	
	212 2 2	_ 53	. 37.7	. 37.7	24. 5	
	BESIK	81	42.0	- 39.5	18.5	
	その意製造業	50	34.0	42.0	, 18.0	. (
3		. 103	20 4	49. 5	28 2	

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Risk is small because development is by one's own company
  9. Cost is cheap because development is by one's own company
- 10. System for the self development of technology has not been put in place
- 11. Miscellaneous

Table 26. Future Environment for Introduction of Technology

				L	.(7)	Bre s	量から		(12)	外田主	果から	
۰					配入社位 在(8)	88になる (9)	現在とおまり食わらない。(10	創想になる (11)	起入社教 者(13)	・ 事長になる (14)	現在とおまり変わらな(15)	<b>問題になる</b> (16)
2	- 1	1		-	287	8.0	72.8	19. 2	287	6.6	61.3	32 1
11	- 1	,		=	181	7. 1	65.6	23 4	181	7.6	53 8	38 6
	2 11	2		19	53	5.7	66 0	28.3	53	. 75	56 6	35 8
	» I		1	86	81	4.9	72.8	22. 2	81	6.2	48 1	45 7
	20	<b>2</b> \$1	1	=	50	1 12.0	68 0	20 0	50	10 0	60 0	30 0
	-	3			103	9.7	78 6	11.7	103	4.9	74.8	20.4

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. From other domestic firms
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Will become easier
- 10. Will not charge too much from the present
- 11. Will become more difficult
- 12. From foreign firms
- 13. Total number of firms entered
- 14. Will become easier
- Will not change too much from the present
   Will become more difficult

Table 27. Research System for Future Development of Technology

				配入社取 金 計 (8)	自社のみで 研究開発を 進める(9)	系列内 / 4 - ブでの共 開発発 (10)	商権総社 との共同 開発 (11)	森 雅 植 を社上の 成成純発 (12)	大学等研究 収賞との共 問題発 (13)	田田的 共用発発 (14)	₹ n #
ì	4		-	1, 061	58.6	38.7	13.5	41. 2	34.3	6.3	0.7
'n	4			758	61.2	36.1	10. 2	39. 6	40. 6	7. 1	0 3
1	2 11	2 .	4	223	59. 6	38.6	8.5	43.5	41. 3	4.5	-
1	BI			307	64.8	38 8	8.5	35.2	36.1	9.1	0. 7
	200	81.2	=	228	57. 9	30.3	14.0	41.7	43 4	7.0	-
*	\$1	3	2	303	52.1	45. 2	21. 8	45. 2	18.5	4.3	1.7

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. (Not used)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Will proceed with research and development alone
- 10. Joint development within the keiretsu group
- 11. Joint development with other firms in the same industry
- 12. Cooperative development with other firms from different industries
- 13. Joint development with research organizations, such as universities
- 14. International joint development
- 15. Miscellaneous

Table 28. Focal Points of Research and Development

					起入社政 會 計 (8)	高型研え の 強化 (9)	低序製品 (商品) における 応用研え (10)	新製法の 構 発 (11)	新報品 (商品) の開発 (12)	多角化・ 新分野 への応用 ・制発 (13)	省二十4 4 一·省 資 源 (14)	情報処理 体制の拡 完・強化 (15)	<b>↑*************</b>	デザイン 等での製 品産別化 (17)	₹のm (18)
Î		4		=	1,063	11.8	58 4	12.7	59 2	31.7	4.9	9 0	0.9	4.3	1
11		4		I	758	11 2	64.8	13 3	71.5	31.1	2.1	1.5	0.1	1.2	0
1	= 1	1 2	X	4	221	9.4	61.6	17.0	69 2	33.5	3.6	2 2	-	2.7	
1			1	u	306	9.5	71.9	9. 2	74.2	29 4	1.0	9.7	0.3	3.3	0
_	to		1 4	I	223	15.4	58 3	15 4	70 2	31 1	2 2	1. 8	-	3.3	0
*	11	4	1	7	301	13.2	42 4	11.2	28 6	43.8	11 8	25 0	30	7.2	3

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. (Not used)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Strengthening of basic research
- 10. Applied research for existing products (commercial products)
- 11. Development of new manufacturing laws
- 12. Development of new products (commercial products)
- 13. Application and development towards diversification and new fields
- 14. Energy conservation and resource conservation
- 15. Enlarging and strengthening of the information processing system
- 16. Pollution prevention
- 17. Product differentiation by design, etc.
- 18. Miscellaneous

Table 29. Total Research and Development Costs as a Percentage of Sales

						(7)	)		E ( 5	*1	REM	~1	()				4)		5	1		1	
			1		是人社会 会。計	* L	# # # 9 )	6	+ + s: (10	407	12 10 ()	11	T # 8	大 <b>位</b> 下 5	6	起入社立 金、計	大幅が16	12 8	+ #:	60)	(18)	7 # 6 (19)	K & F & G
Ŷ				1	1,051	1	18		. 49	5	1	10. 4	1.6	-	-	1, 050	21	7	60	6	18	0.4	
41		4		-	758		21.		50	4	1	16 3	1.6		-	758	2:	7	61	6	15.3	0.4	
	2	11	2 1		210		18	7	50	2		9.8	1.3		-	225	15	6	62	2	18.3	-	
		I	2 1	5	3.6		25	5	45	7	1	3 5	2.3		-	306	26		61	1	11.8	0.3	
	20		11.4	E	227		19.	8	52		. 1	8. 4			•	227	20	1	61	7	17.5	0.9	
	-		2		293		10	2	47.	1		1.0	1.7		-	292	15		57		26 4	0 3	

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- Other manufacturing industries
   Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Present (compared to 5 years ago)
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Rising greatly
- 10. Rising somewhat
- 11. Remaining at virtually the same level
- 12. Falling somewhat
- 13. Falling greatly
- 5 years from now
   Total number of firms entered
- 16. Rising greatly
- 17. Rising somewhat
- 18. Remaining at virtually the same level
- 19. Falling somewhat
- 20. Falling greatly

Table 30. Record and Future Forecast of Direct Investment Overseas

F					1	(7) a	4直接登	9 .	(11)	351	年の英温		(16	) + n	5 #		(21) 4	强 5 年	
						12.3 人(8) 取計	行る で(9)	行な マル て い(10	行 でお い(12	性 (13) 大	145	(15)	# 17	(18) *	12 tz	# (20)	行な で で い(22	サガル 選手の	41
2			t		=	1, 158	47. 8	52.2	553	52. 3	41. 6	6.1	553	51.2	42.3	6.3	605	13.9	Bi
u					=	763	51. 1	43.9	390	52. 6	42.3	5.1	390	51.8	41. 0	7.2	373	11.2	85
1	=	Ħ			15	225	43.6	56.4	98	38. 8	50.0	11. 2	98	40. 8	46. 9	12.2	127	8.7	91
	-	I			12	309	59. 5	40. 5	181	€2.0	35. 3	2.7	181	62 0	35. 3	2.7	125	17.6	3:
	ŧ	n (	2 1	1	2	229	47. 2	52. 8	108	49. 1	47. 2	3.7	108	41.4	45.4	10.2	121	16 3	83
A					-	295	41. 3	58. 7	163	51 5	39.9	8.6	163	49.7	45. 1	1.9	232	13 1	\$6

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Direct investment overseas
- 8. Total number of firms entered
- 9. Carrying it out
- 10. Not carrying it out
- 11. Record for the past 5 years
- 12. Total carried out
- 13. Expanded
- 14. Remained the same
- 15. Declined
- 16. Next 5 years
- 17. Total to be carried out
- 18. Expanding
- 19. Remaining the same
- 20. Declining
- 21. Next 5 years
- 22. Total not being carried out
- 23. Plan to make inroads in the future
- 24. Do not plan to make inroads in the future

Table 31. Partners (Countries) of Direct Investment Overseas--Record of the Past 5 Years

		* .		-	- 1	-1	2 5	*:	EAR	(10	)) 7	نا	7		*	<b></b>	+	7	2
						社(7) 取計	(8)	# £ (	# #	北東 757 (12)	東 第 7 ジア (13)	環 西 7ジ7 (14)	中 <b>第</b> (15)	# # (16)	至 (17)	# (18)	(19)	(10)	
£		4	1		-	553	60	2 32.7	73. 2	33 3	55 9	5. 8	4.2	14.5	9. 6	22.8	5.8	0	
11		-	1		E	390	59.	7 34.6	72.1	34.1	53 6	4. 9	2.1	12 1	6 4	21 8	4.1		
1	=	H			8	98	50	18.4	79. 6	30 6	58.2	3.1	2.0	8.2	10 2	28 6	6.1		
	to	I			8	184	70	1 45.1	70 I	37 0	51. 6	7. 6	2.7	14.7	7 6	22 8	4.9		
	÷	21			=	108	50	31.5	68. 5	32. 4	52. 8	1.9	0.9	11.1	0.9	13 9	0 9		
R		<b>D</b>	-		1	. 163	61.	3 28 2	75.1	31. 3	61.3	8.0	9.2	20 2	17 2	25 2	9.8	0	

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- Processing industries
   Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. United States and Canada
  9. Europe (excluding the Communist bloc)
- 10. Asia
- 11. Subtotal
- 12. Northeast Asia
- 13. Southeast Asia 14. Southwest Asia
- 15. China
- 16. Pacific Ocean area
- 17. Middle East
- 18. Latin America
- 19. Africa
- 20. Miscellaneous

Table 32. Partners (Countries) of Direct Investment Overseas--Forecast for the Next 5 Years

					1	起 章 入社 取計	起身 入社 取計 (7)	起意		RAN	(10)	7	¥	7		*	•	φ	7	ŧ
									B+ (8)	100	# . B)	707	7 % 7 (13)	7 % 7 (14)	+ <b>s</b>	# # (16)	(17)	# (18)	(19)	E (2)
*		•	1			637	57.5	32.3	71.7	27. 9	46.9	7.4	27.8	12.2	7.1	14.6	5.5			
31					E	443	59.4	36.8	67.7	25.6	42.4	6.3	23.9	9.3	4.1	12.6	3 6			
		#	=	2	M	109	53 0	23.9	63.8	20.2	44.0	1.8	22 9	10 1	1.6	16.3	5.3			
		I		2	16	204	67.0	67:6	68 9	28.6	40 3	10.2	23 8	8 7	5.8	13 6	11			
	ŧ	0	2 81	1	2	128	50.8	30. 5	64.4	29.9	44.5	19	25 0	9.4	0.8	7.8	0.8			
	1	1	2		I	194	53.1	22.2	80.9	30 9	57.2	9.0	36 6	19 1	13 9	19.1	9.8			

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- Total number of firms entered 7.
- Total number of firms ent
   United States and Canada
- 9. Europe (excluding the Communist bloc)
- 10. Asia
- 11. Subtotal
- 12. Northeast Asia
- 13. Southeast Asia
- 14. Southwest Asia
- 15. China
- 16. Pacific Ocean area
- 17. Middle East
- 18. Latin America
- 19. Africa 20. Miscellaneous

Table 33. Reasons for Carrying Out Direct Investment Overseas

		0				起 章 社 取 計	サール では は できる は しゅう は できる は に できる は に できる は できる は に できる は できる は に できる は に できる は に できる は に できる に でき に でき	第3回報を(9)	機能の の の の の の の の の の の の の の	現他の労働 労働等が有	を を を の を が 有利 の と り を り を り り り り り り り り り り り り り り り	工物が表立物を 立物を を を を で	世間日本	日本をはなる	総よ回た 入り登 関略と 制出な にがっ	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
£		4				630	78 6	21.4	18 3	14 9	12 2	2.1	9.5	2 4	16 7	7
11				i	7	418	78.4	23 2	17.7	16.1	13.2	2.3	. 8 9	2.7	21 1	5
	=	H	2		79	108	62 0	21. 3	34.3	. 16.7	17.6	4.6	13 9	-	12.0	1
	300	I	E 1			206	85.4	28.6	2.1	_ 15.5	11.7	1.7	4.9	4.9	31.1	1
	2,	7 2	11	8	-	126	81.0	15.9	28.6	16.7	11.9	0.8	11.1	1.6	12.7	7
	\$1		4	1		190	78.9	27.4	19.5	12.1	10.0	1.6	11.1	1.6	6.3	11

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. To expand market outlets in partner country markets
- 9. To expand market outlets in third country markets
- 10. Local raw materials and resources can be guaranteed
- 11. Local labor market is useful
- 12. Local production is worthwhile because of preferential treatment policy
- 13. Plant site factors are favorable
- 14. Merit in the area of technical cooperation
- 15. Avoid instability of export profits
- 16. Exports had become difficult because of import restrictions
- 17. Miscellaneous

Table 34. Problems When Considering Direct Investment Overseas

					ı	2 .	224	(9)	現地で	の行情な経営は		1 2	1 +		
						ž #	の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の の	# #(	10 (11)	表 男 の 質 動 環 な 力 後	R on M	下事間 日本選 け悪(14	(15)	16)	(17)
ŧ				1	1	. 676	61.4	- 90.	5 34.	(12) 55.8	(13) 31.2	19.1	50. 1	28.0	4.
H		4		1	1	478	58.8	91.	8 38.	61.9	28.2	20.1	47. 9	25. 1	- 1
1	2	H	2 1		1	123	68.3	92.	7 37.	60.2	30.9	10.6	41. 5	31.7	5.
1		I	2 1		ī	. 215	51.0	. 94.	9 . 39.	62.3	30.2	28.4	50. 2	19.1	1.
	21	2 6			1	140	57. 9	86.	4 - 37.	62.9	22.9	15.7	50. 0	28.6	6.
	-			1	1	- 198	67. 7	87.	4 23.	40.9	38.4	16.7	53.6	31.8	6

- 1. All industries
- 2. Manufacturing industries
- 3. Raw materials industries
- 4. Processing industries
- 5. Other manufacturing industries
- 6. Nonmanufacturing industries
- 7. Total number of firms entered
- 8. Fear of political instability and nationalization
- 9. Concern over smooth economic activity in local area in partner country
- 10. Subtotal
- 11. Labor-management practices
- 12. Guarantee of good labor force
- 13. Constraints of the tax system, etc.
- 14. Production relationships, such as subcontractors
- 15. Personnel matters of employees assigned overseas
- 16. Exchange rate fluctuations
- 17. Miscellaneous

12259

CSO: 8129/1640

### ECONOMIC

HIGHER IMPORT OF OIL, COAL URGED

OW021323 Tokyo KYODO in English 1239 GMT 2 Aug 85

[Text] Tokyo, 2 Aug (KYODO) -- Japan-China talks this fall for review of their long-term trade agreement are in for rough sailing in view of the certainty of Beijing demands for increased imports of crude oil and coal, government sources said Friday.

Under the trade agreement governing Japan's imports of crude oil and coal, the amounts and prices of annual imports have been reviewed each year, except 1980, since the agreement was concluded in 1978.

At this year's talks in Tokyo, China is believed certain to call for increased imports as a means of reducing its huge trade deficit with Japan.

But Japan will find it difficult to comply because of slack domestic demand, the sources said.

At the bilateral ministerial talks held in Tokyo 30-31 July, Gu Mu, head of the Chinese delegation, strongly called for expansion of long-term trade to rectify China's trade deficit with Japan, which he said amounted to 2.3 billion dollars in the first half of this year.

The review talks scheduled for this fall are designed to fix a general framework for Japanese coal and oil imports over the next five years, and amounts and prices for the next year, the sources said.

Indications are that China is placing great importance on the talks in the context of its seventh five-year economic plan starting next year. Crude oil is China's main foreign exchange earner.

However, Japan finds it hard to boost oil imports because of electric power firms' growing reliance on atomic power generation and higher prices than those for oil in the spot market.

This is also true of coal. Moreover, Japan faces demands for increased coal imports from the United States and Australia as well.

Through its working-level contacts with China, therefore, Japan is seeking to reach a compromise formula on the basis of plans suggested by Japanese quarters concerned.

Among these suggestions are a switch to year-by-year contracts, flexible adjustment to market prices and flexible imports under import targets instead of the mandatory quota system.

Japan's crude oil imports from China rose from seven million tons in 1978 to 8.3 million tons in 1981 and 1982. Since 1983, the annual amount has ranged from eight million to 8.6 million tons.

As for coal, Japan annually boosted imports from 1978 to 1983—from 150,000 tons each of coking and steam coal in1978 to two million tons of coking coal and 2.5 million tons of steam coal in 1983.

But annual imports have declined from 1984 owing to slack domestic demand, with contracted imports for this year standing at 1.3 to 1.5 million tons of coking coal and 2.3 to 2.5 million tons of steam coal.

END

CSO: 4100/701

# END OF FICHE DATE FILMED

SEptember 26, 1985